

P.S. An important fact omitted in this lastly written is that under the present scattered system of Copyright business, no one knows, or can know what amount of Copyright publications are issued in the U.S. By requiring the Librarian to make annual reports to Congress, a highly important & interesting class of facts would be added to our national statistics.

Library of Congress,

Washington, April 9, 1870.

Hon. J. A. Decker;

Chairman Committee of Patents & Copyrights;
Dear Sir,

Permit me to bring to your attention some leading reasons why the transfer of the entire copyright business and books to the care of the Library of Congress would promote the public interest.

1. Under the present system, although this National Library is entitled by law to a copy of every work for which a copyright is taken out, it does not receive, in point of fact, more than four-fifths of such publications. It is made the duty of the Librarian to make demand from delinquent proprietors of Copyrights of a copy for this Library. To do this, I must have authentic evidence of every Copyright that is issued in the United States. Now there are forty-four judicial Districts, the Clerk of each of which issues certificates of Copyright. It is made the duty of all

of them to transmit to the Patent Office a transcript of their Copyright records, at stated intervals. Yet less than one third of the District Clerks make any return at all, either of the Record, or the publications deposited. Those who do transmit them, do it at such long intervals, and at a time so remote from the issue of the publications, as to render their records, even if full, of comparatively little value & use in making requisition for the books. The most extensive Copyright District in the Union is the Southern District of New York. The transcript of Copyright Certificates sent to Washington from this office is always many months in arrear, and moreover the titles of the works, ^{and their authors & publishers} are so abbreviated in it as to render it practically useless as a basis for an official demand of delinquent books. I am compelled to spend several days every year in transcribing the useful memoranda from the original books in the Clerk's office, where the entries, moreover, are of late from three to six months in arrear of the Copyright certificates issued. I mention this not to reflect upon the efficiency of the Clerk, whose office is continually crowded with business of all sorts, - Admiralty business, Internal Revenue business, Custom House business, criminal business, etc, & who undoubtedly does all he can with the very limited clerical force allowed him. But

is not this state of facts a reason why the District Clerks of the United States should be relieved of this increasing Copyright business?

There are many other offices of District Courts in which considerable entries of Copyrights are made, which have made no returns whatever to the Patent Office for years. From some of these I have succeeded in obtaining, by correspondence, transcripts of the records, at a considerable expense to the Library fund. And I have found it indispensable to visit personally the offices of the District Clerks in Boston, N. Y., Philadelphia & Baltimore, once or twice annually, to secure authentic evidence of Copyrights whose proprietors fail to transmit their publications to the Library of Congress. This is ^{and loss of time} an expense which could be wholly saved were the Copyrights issued in Washington.

2. The transfer of the Copyright business proposed would concentrate and simplify the business, and this is a cardinal point. At present, there are forty-four separate and distinct authorities for issuing copyrights. The American people are annually put to great trouble and expense to find out where to apply, in this complicated system of District Courts, several of them frequently in a single State, to enter titles for pub-

lication. Moreover, they are required to go to the
expense and trouble of transmitting a copy
after publication to the District Clerk -
and another copy to the Library of Congress.
If both copies were mailed to Washington,
this double duty would be diminished by half.
Next, the books would be received at Washington while
fresh from the press, instead of as at present,
several months after issue, or not at all.
Then the Copyright Records would be constantly
on hand where the publications to which they
relate are stored. This would simplify and
facilitate reference to the greatest possible degree.
In the present complicated and heterogeneous
system, a person seeking to establish the valid-
ity ^{of} or to invalidate a Copyright, must go to three
or more widely separated localities to verify
the various points of evidence, and will probably
fail at last, from the very imperfect manner
in which the law regarding Copyright entries and
deposits is executed. Let the whole business, from
the initial point to the final deposit of the publication
be placed in the charge of one single responsible
officer, and an infinitude of expense, trouble
and insecurity would be saved to the proprietors
of Copyrights and to the legal profession.

3. The advantage of securing to our only National
Library, a complete collection of all American
Copyright publications can scarcely be over estimated.
If such a law as that proposed had been enforced
since the beginning of the Government, we
should now have in the Library of Congress
a complete representation of the product of

the American mind, in every department
 of science and literature, as represented in
 books. Many publications which are printed in
 small editions, or which become "out of print"
 from the many accidents which continually destroy
 books, could owe to such a Library their sole chance
 of preservation to posterity. Even a collection of
 school books, denominated "trash" by many persons,
 is found in the progress of time to possess an un-
 foreseen value as an exponent of educational
 progress. We should have one comprehensive Library
 in the country, and that belonging to the nation, whose
 aim it should be to preserve the books which other
 Libraries have not the room nor the means to procure.
 And it is well worth considering, in discussing
 the details of the scheme proposed, whether we may
 not have reached a point where the Library of
 Congress should receive a more truly national
 designation. In the first general Catalogue
 of that Library, issued in 1815, immediately
 after the purchase by Congress of the library
 of Mr. Jefferson, the collection was thus termed:
 "Catalogue of the Library of the United States."
 Might it not be exercising a wise discretion
 to return to this comprehensive designation,

in view of the fact that what is now called
in the statutes "The Library of Congress" is built
up and maintained by the taxation of the whole
people, and is largely recruited from the copy-
tax re-enacted in the bill under consideration?

4. This consideration assumes additional weight
when it is considered that the Library of Congress
is freely open to the public throughout the
year, and is rapidly becoming the great
reference library of the country, resorted to
not only by Congress and the residents of
Washington, but by students and writers
from all parts of the country, in search of
references and authorities not elsewhere
to be found. The proposed consolidation within
its walls of ^{all} the accumulated Copyright
publications now stored at the Patent
Office, thus utilising both to their proprietors
and to the public many thousands of volumes
so long completely buried from view, would
render it still more emphatically the Library
of the United States. Its complete Catalogue
system alone lends an additional value to
its stores, such as they would no where else possess.
The advantage of having all American publications

thoroughly catalogued in print in an annual volume, carefully edited and authoritatively issued from the press of the Government, is one which it may require some reflection fully to appreciate, but which would be an invaluable aid to thousands.

5. The proposed reform of the present unsatisfactory methods of recording and perfecting copyrights would take away all the objections now so freely brought against the law. It is complained of by authors and publishers, (and with much reason) that they are put to much trouble and some expense to secure a privilege of no certain value. There are so many points required to be complied with to perfect a copyright title, and these points are so subject to the mistakes & omissions of many officials concerned, as well as to those of the proprietor, that it may be said of most copyrights taken out, that they rest under a cloud which an ingenious or unscrupulous person may take advantage of to invalidate them. And what motive have the publishers to use more zeal in complying with the law and transmitting Copies of their publications through the District Clerks to the Patent

office, when they see that the books are thence
both lost and buried so that not even their
authors or the owners of the Copyrights can
get sight of them again? What is the deposit
at the Patent office but a sheer waste of vol-
uable material, taking up expense, room
and time, with no resulting benefit to any-
body? Even were the books properly
shelved and cared for, it would only be du-
plicating, so to speak, the same collection
at the seat of Government now required
by law to be preserved in the Library of Congress.

6. The last consideration I will note is that
the proposed change would be a great economy
for the Government. It would save the Patent
office the trouble, expense and room of providing
for a great library of material which it cannot
use, and does not want. It would leave its
officers and its space free to be concentrated
upon the great & rapidly growing inventive
act of the country. A Copyright is not an in-
vention or a patent - it is a contribution
to literature. It is not material, but intellec-
tual, and has no natural relation to a department
which is charged with the care of the mechanic arts.
It belongs rather to a Government library
system than to any other department of the civil
service. The ~~expense~~^{responsibility} of caring for it would be an
incident to the similar labors already devolved upon
the Librarian of Congress, and the receipts from
Copyright certificates would much more than pay
its expense, thus leaving the Treasury the gainer by
the change.

With high respects
A R Spofford
Librarian