**Long Comment Regarding a Proposed Exemption**

**Under 17 U.S.C. 1201**

**[ ] Check here if multimedia evidence is being provided in connection with this comment**

*Please note that such evidence must be separately submitted on a disc or flash drive. See the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking for detailed instructions.*

**Item 1. Commenter Information**

*Identify the commenting party and, if desired, provide a means for others to contact the commenter or an authorized representative of the commenter by email and/or telephone. (Please keep in mind that any private, confidential, or personally identifiable information in this document will be accessible to the public.)*

**Item 2. Proposed Class Addressed**

*Identify the proposed exemption that your comment addresses by the number and name of the class set forth in the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (e.g., “Proposed Class 7: Audiovisual works – derivative uses – noncommercial remix videos”).*

**Item 3. Overview**

*Provide a brief summary of the circumvention activity sought to be exempted or opposed and why.*

**Item 4. Technological Protection Measure(s) and Method(s) of Circumvention**

*Describe the TPM(s) that control access to the work and the relevant method(s) of circumvention. The description should provide sufficient information to allow the Office to understand the nature of the relevant technologies, as well as how they are disabled or bypassed.*

**Item 5. Asserted Noninfringing Use(s)**

*Explain the asserted noninfringing use(s) of copyrighted works said to be facilitated by the proposed exemption, including all legal (statutory or doctrinal) bases for the claim that the uses are or are likely noninfringing. Commenters should provide an evidentiary basis to support their contentions, including discussion or refutation of specific examples of such uses and, if available, documentary and/or separately submitted multimedia evidence.*

**Item 6. Asserted Adverse Effects**

*Explain whether the inability to circumvent the TPM(s) at issue has or is likely to have adverse effects on the asserted noninfringing use(s), including any relevant legal (statutory or doctrinal) considerations. Commenters should also address any potential alternatives that permit the asserted noninfringing use(s) without the need for circumvention. Commenters should provide an evidentiary basis to support their contentions, including discussion or refutation of specific examples of such uses and, if available, documentary and/or separately submitted multimedia evidence.*

**Item 7. Statutory Factors**

*Evaluate the proposed exemption in light of each of the statutory factors set forth in 17 U.S.C. 1201(a)(1)(C):*

1. *the availability for use of copyrighted works;*
2. *the availability for use of works for nonprofit archival, preservation, and educational purposes;*
3. *the impact that the prohibition on the circumvention of technological measures applied to copyrighted works has on criticism, comment, news reporting, teaching, scholarship, or research;*
4. *the effect of circumvention of technological measures on the market for or value of copyrighted works; and*
5. *any other factor that may be appropriate for the Librarian to consider in evaluating the proposed exemption.*

**Item 8. Documentary Evidence**

*Commenters are encouraged to submit documentary evidence to support their arguments or illustrate pertinent points concerning the proposed exemption. Any such documentary evidence should be attached to the comment and uploaded through the Office’s website (though it does not count toward the 25-page limit).*