

Labor's Order No. 5–2010 (75 FR 55355).

Signed at Washington, DC, on November 22, 2011.

**David Michaels,**

*Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health.*

[FR Doc. 2011–30478 Filed 11–25–11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510–26–P**

## LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

### Copyright Office

[Docket No. 2011–1]

#### Cable Statutory License: Specialty Station List; Correction

**AGENCY:** Copyright Office, Library of Congress.

**ACTION:** Notice of objections and specialty station filings; correction.

**SUMMARY:** Periodically, the Copyright Office ("Office") seeks to update its list of specialty stations related to the use of the cable compulsory license. In response to the publication of an initial list of specialty stations for this purpose in April of this year, the Office received objections filed by the Motion Picture Association of America to the identification of certain stations as being entitled to specialty station status in accordance with the Federal Communications Commission's ("FCC") definition of specialty station in effect on June 24, 1981. Corrections are being made to the specialty station list published on November 8, 2011.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ben Golant, Assistant General Counsel, Copyright GC/I&R, P.O. Box 70400, Southwest Station, Washington, DC 20024. *Telephone:* (202) 707–8380. *Telefax:* (202) 707–8366.

#### Correction

The Office corrects the following errors in the Notice of Objections published in the **Federal Register** on November 8, 2011 at 76 FR 69288:

- On page 69289, WNYA–CA, Albany, NY was misidentified as WYNA–CA.
- On page 69289, W34DI, Port Jervis, NY was misidentified as W34d1.
- On page 69289, W46DQ, Port Jervis, NY was misidentified as W42DQ.
- On page 69289, W42CX, Port Jervis, NY was missing from the list as a station to which MPAA filed an objection (no evidence of construction or the type of programming broadcast should not be identified as specialty stations)

Dated: November 21, 2011.

**Maria A. Pallante,**

*Register of Copyrights.*

[FR Doc. 2011–30522 Filed 11–25–11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 1410–30–P**

## NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

### Advisory Panel for Integrative Activities, #1373; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463, as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting.

*Name:* Ad Hoc Advisory Committee on the Merit Review Process (MRPAC).

*Date/Time:* December 20, 2011; 12 p.m.–4 p.m., EST.

*Place:* National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Rm 920, Arlington, VA.

*Type of Meeting:* Open.

*Contact Person:* Ms. Victoria Fung, National Science Foundation 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Room 935, Arlington, VA 22230. Email: [vfung@nsf.gov](mailto:vfung@nsf.gov).

If you plan to attend the meeting, please send an email with your name and affiliation to the individual listed above, by the day before the meeting, so that a visitor badge can be prepared.

*Purpose of Meeting:* To provide advice concerning issues related to NSF's merit review process.

#### Agenda

- Welcome
- Update on outreach activities
- Discussion of potential enhancements to the merit review process

Dated: November 22, 2011.

**Susanne Bolton,**

*Committee Management Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2011–30477 Filed 11–25–11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7555–01–P**

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. NRC–2011–0271]

### Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of pending NRC action to submit an information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and solicitation of public comment.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) invites public comment about our intention to request the OMB's approval for renewal of an existing information collection that is

summarized below. We are required to publish this notice in the **Federal Register** under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Information pertaining to the requirement to be submitted:

1. *The title of the information collection:* 10 CFR Part 20, "Standards for Protection Against Radiation."

2. *Current OMB approval number:* 3150–0014.

3. *How often the collection is required:* Most reports are collected annually, but decommissioning reports are collected at license termination.

4. *Who is required or asked to report:* NRC licensees, including those requesting license terminations. Types of licensees include civilian commercial, industrial, academic, and medical users of nuclear materials. Licenses are issued for, among other things, the possession, use, processing, handling, and importing and exporting of nuclear materials, and for the operation of nuclear reactors.

5. *The number of annual respondents:* 3,000.

6. *The number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request:* 91,503 hours (5,476 hours reporting + 342 hours third-party disclosure + 85,685 hours recordkeeping).

7. *Abstract:* 10 CFR part 20 establishes standards for protection against ionizing radiation resulting from activities conducted under licenses issued by the NRC. These standards require the establishment of radiation protection programs, maintenance of radiation protection programs, maintenance of radiation records recording of radiation received by workers, reporting of incidents which could cause exposure to radiation, submittal of an annual report to NRC of the results of individual monitoring, and submittal of license termination information. These mandatory requirements are needed to protect occupationally exposed individuals from undue risks of excessive exposure to ionizing radiation and to protect the health and safety of the public.

Submit, by January 27, 2012, comments that address the following questions:

1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?

2. Is the burden estimate accurate?

3. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?

4. How can the burden of the information collection be minimized,